1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Larry A. Hammond, 004049 Anne M. Chapman, 025965 OSBORN MALEDON, P.A. 2929 N. Central Avenue, 21st Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2793 (602) 640-9000 lhammond@omlaw.com achapman@omlaw.com  John M. Sears, 005617 P.O. Box 4080 Prescott, Arizona 86302 (928) 778-5208 John.Sears@azbar.org  Attorneys for Defendant  IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF IN AND FOR THE COU STATE OF ARIZONA,  Plaintiff,  vs.  STEVEN CARROLL DEMOCKER,	
18 19	Defendant.	, · · · · · ·
20		<i>)</i> )
21 22	Steven DeMocker, by and through counsel hereby responds to the State's Motion	
23	to Compel and requests that this Court deny the State's Motion as unfounded and not	
24	On August 9, 2010 the State filed a Motion to Compel the production of any audio or written document and any transcript of a defense interview of State's witness Karen Gere. The State acknowledges that Ms. Gere is not a defense witness, but is, in	
25		
26		
27		
28		

fact, a State's witness. The State does not cite a single authority for the proposition that it is entitled to this material, because there is no such authority. As explained to the State in response to its request of defense counsel prior to the filing of its Motion, Arizona Rule of Criminal Procedure 15.2 governs disclosure by the defense to the State. The defense asked the State to provide any authority for the proposition that the State is entitled to defense interviews of State's witnesses. The State provided no such authority to the defense, just as it has provided none to the Court.

Rule 15.2 provides that the defense must disclose relevant written or recorded statements of persons "whom the defendant intends to call as witnesses at trial...." Ariz. R. Crim. P. § 15.2(c) (1) (emphasis added). As the State knows and acknowledges in its Motion, Ms. Gere is not a witness the defense intends to call at trial. Therefore, there is no requirement that the defense disclose any interview of her pursuant to Rule 15.2 or any other authority.

There is no requirement that the State be notified of every defense interview, its unfounded suggestion that witnesses were contacted "in secret" to the contrary notwithstanding. Nor is there any requirement that the defense provide the State with interviews of witnesses the defense does not intend to call at trial. The State has cited the Court to no authority to the contrary, after being invited to do by defense counsel, because none exists. Therefore the Court should deny the State's Motion to Compel.

DATED this 10<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2010.

John M. Sears

P.O. Box 4080

Prescott, Arizona 86302

1	OSBORN MALEDON, P.A.
2	Larry A. Hammond
3	Anne M. Chapman 2929 N. Central Avenue, Suite 2100
4	Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2793
5	Attorneys for Defendant
6	
7	ORIGINAL of the foregoing hand delivered for
8	filing this 10 <sup>th</sup> day of August, 2010, with:
9	Jeanne Hicks
10	Clerk of the Court Yavapai County Superior Court
11	120 S. Cortez
12	Prescott, AZ 86303
13	COPIES of the foregoing hand delivered this 10 <sup>th</sup> day of August, 2010, to:
14	
15	The Hon. Warren R. Darrow Judge Pro Tem B
16	120 S. Cortez
17	Prescott, AZ 86303
18	
19	Joseph C. Butner, Esq.
20	Jeffrey Paupore, Esq.
21	Prescott Courthouse basket
22	
23	3241 8
24	
25	
26	